

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,867	01/02/2001	Edward C. Guerrero JR.	5500-64900	1985
759	90 04/13/2004		EXAM	INER
Robert C. Kowert			DANG, KHANH NMN	
Conley, Rose &	Tayon, P.C.			
P.O. Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 78767-0398			2111	8
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/753,867	GUERRERO ET AL.				
7.2	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khanh Dang	2111				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment which	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee are efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	<u> </u>				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-40</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	oroved or b)  disapproved by	the Examiner.				
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Tennes Pones				
		Khanh Dang Primary Examiner				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

As clearly stated in the Final Rejection, and further discussed in an Interview on 3/22/2004, the claimed invention is anticipated by Voegeli et al. With regard to the 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejection, Applicant's attention is again directed to the Examiner's remarks in the Final Office Action. Note also that arbitration process intrinsically requires more than one involved party to a dispute. As a matter of fact, arbitration is a "process by which the parties to a dispute submit their differences to the judgment of an impartial person or group appointed by mutual consent or statutory provision" or a "hearing and determination of a cause between parties in controversy, by a person or persons chosen by the parties." See Dictionary.com. With regard to the 102 Rejection, Applicant's attention is again directed to the Final Office Action, and to the 3/22/2004 Interview Summary. Note additionally that Applicant's argument in the 3/29/2004 Response to Final Action is merely a reiteration of the same issue discussed in detail during the above mentioned Interview. With regard to the 103 Rejection, Applicant's attention is again directed to the Final Office Action. Note additionally that with regard to the term"arbiter" or arbitration, see discussion above and further, the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection. Note also that further response to Applicant's argument regarding the 103 Rejection may be appropriately provided in due course. Applicant's request for an interview is denied. 37 CFR 1.116 clearly states that "[t]the prosecution of an application before the examiner should ordinarily be concluded with the final action. However, one personal interview by applicant may be entertained after such final action if circumstances warrant. Thus, only one request by applicant for a personal interview after final should be granted." In the instant case, one interview focussing squarely on Applicant's pre-submitted agenda has already been granted.